

Pedagogická fakulta, Katedra telesnej výchovy a športu Faculty of Education, Department of Physical Education & Sport



"SPORT SCIENCE IN MOTION" Proceedings from the scientific conference Zborník vedeckých a odborných prác z vedeckej konferencie Válogatott tanulmánykötet –Válogatott tanulmányok a tudományos konferenciáról Komárno, September 5th – 7th, 2019



## UNIVERZITA J. SELYEHO V KOMÁRNE, PEDAGOGICKÁ FAKULTA, KATEDRA TELESNEJ VÝCHOVY A ŠPORTU J. SELYE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, DPT. OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION & SPORT

## **Honorary Committee:**

Dr. habil. PaedDr. Kinga HORVÁTH, PhD. – dean of the Faculty of Education University of Selye in Komárno

## **Scientific Committee:**

prof. Iacob HANTIU, PhD. (University of Oradea) prof. Bogdan VASILE, PhD. (Babes-Bolay university in Cluj-Napoca) dr. Miklós BÁNHIDI, PhD. – (University of Western Hungary in Györ) prof. PaedDr. Jaromír ŠIMONEK, PhD. (KTVŠ PF UKF v Nitre) doc. PaedDr. Nora HALMOVÁ, PhD. (Sports Dept, CPU in Nitra) doc. PaedDr. Janka KANÁSOVÁ, PhD. (Sports Dept, CPU in Nitra) doc. PaedDr. Jaroslav BROĎÁNI, PhD. (Sports Dept, CPU in Nitra) PaedDr. Beáta DOBAY, PhD. (J. Selye University, Faculty of Education, Dept. of Physical Education & Sport in Komárno)

# **Organizing Committee:**

PaedDr. Beáta Dobay, PhD. PaedDr. Natália Czaková, PhD. PaedDr. Pavol Horička, PhD. PaedDr. Ľubomír Paška, PhD. PaedDr. Jaroslav Krajčovič, PhD. Mgr. Natália Kováčová Mgr. Monika Czaková

# **Conference topics:**

- 1. Sport & Health
- 2. School Physical Education & Sport
- 3. Recreation Physical Activity
- 4. Sport Training

**Conference objectives:** Active communication of scientific contributions and outputs from scientific projects, movement promotion, socialization.

Conference languages: Slovak, English, Czech, Hungarian

Sport Science in Motion – Proceedings from scientific conference in Komárno 2019 Športová veda v pohybe – recenzovaný zborník vedeckých a odborných prác z konferencie v Komárne – 5. – 7. 9. 2019

Válogatott tanulmánykötet –Válogatott tanulmányok a tudományos konferenciáról, Komárno 2019. 5 - 7



Zostavovatelia zborníka/Editors: prof. PaedDr. Jaromír Šimonek, PhD., PaedDr. Beáta Dobay, PhD.

## **Recenzenti/Reviewers:**

doc. PaedDr. E. Chovanová, PhD., Mgr. Peter Žiška, PhD., Mgr. Ľuboš Vojtaško, PhD., doc. PaedDr. Nora Halmová, PhD., Mgr. Lenka Divinec, PhD., Mgr. Ľubomír Paška, PhD., doc. PaedDr. Vladimír Šutka, PhD., PaedDr. Mária Kalinková, PhD., Mgr. Natália Czaková, PhD., Szabó Péter, PaedDr. Peter Židek, Mgr. Matúš Krčmár, PhD., prof. Ing. Radovan Kasarda, PhD., prof. PhDr. Rudolf Horváth, CSc., prof. PaedDr. Jaromír Šimonek, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Miroslav Holienka, PhD., PaedDr. Ladislav Valter, Mgr. Jitka Vaněčková, PhD., Dr. Zita Petrovszki, doc. Mgr. Naďa Novotná, PhD.

Príspevky prešli recenziou. Za odbornú úroveň a pôvodnosť zodpovedajú autori

| Vydavateľ:      | Univerzita J. Selyeho v Komárne |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Miesto vydania: | Komárno                         |
| Rok vydania:    | 2019                            |
| Náklad:         | 50 kusov                        |
| Počet strán:    | 257                             |
| Formát:         | CD rom                          |

ISBN 978-80-8122-304-4 EAN 9788081223044

# **OBSAH/CONTENTS**

# **INVITED LECTURES**

| Zsolt Radák   |
|---|
| EXERCISE AND BRAIN FUNCTION7  |
| Andrea Puskás Lenténé   |
| HEALTH TOURISM RESEARCH FROM THE NORTHEN GREAT PLAIN                                |
| REGION7   |
| Martin Škopek   |
| DEVELOPMENT OF DIGITAL LITERACY IN P.E. (ROZVOJ DIGITÁLNÍ                           |
| GRAMOTNOSTI V TĚLESNÉ VÝCHOVĚ)  |
| Jan Hnízdil   |
| CARDIAL FREQUENCY AS AN INDICATOR OF THE RATE OF PHYSICAL                           |
| LOADING – LIMITS OF REAL VALUES (SRDEČNÍ FREKVENCE JAKO                             |
| INDIKÁTOR MÍRV FVZICKÉHO ZATÍŽENÍ - I IMITV VÝPOVĚDNÍ                               |
| HODNOTV) 18   |
|   |
| LECTURES IN SECTIONS  |
| Barbara Ágh   |
| PRESENTATION ON RESULTS OF MOTORIC SKILLS OF 3 <sup>RD</sup> -4 <sup>TH</sup> GRADE |
| SCHOOLCHILDREN IN KOMÁRNO   |
|   |
| Melinda Biró, Anikó Molnár, Péter Hidvégi, Sándor Mikhárdi, József Márton Pucsok,   |
| Andrea Puskás Lenténé   |
| THE ROLE OF MASSAGE IN TOURISM, AND THE HOTELS OFFERINGS IN                         |
| HUNGARY   |
| Alena Ruková, Zuzana Küchelová, Silvia Duranková                                    |
| VVRRANÉ FAKTORV ŽIVOTNÉHO ŠTÝLI PACIENTOV S CHRONICKÝM                              |
| OCHOPENÍM 36  |
|   |
| Agata Horbacz, Dana Dračková, Alena Galambošová                                     |
| INFORMOVANOSŤ A ODPORÚČANIA O POHYBOVEJ AKTIVITE PACIENTOV                          |
| S OCHORENÍM ŠTÍTNEJ ŽĽAZY44   |
| Miklós Bánhidi, Beata Dobay, Richard Szaloki, Kinga Nagy                            |
| OLYMPIC VALUES AT THE EUROPEAN YOUTH OLYMPIC FESTIVAL IN                            |
| HUNGARY   |
| 54  |

Šimonek, Andor H. Molnár, Jaromir Nora Halmová, Iuliana **Boros-Bálint.** Grațiela Flavia Deak, Viorel Petru Ardelean. Vasile Liviu Andrei, Ágnes Virág Nagy, Beáta Vári, Kornélia Orbán, Csaba Fintor, Tímea Ocskó, Róbert Szász, Géza Olajos, Ferenc Györi, István Alattyányi, Rita Csetreki, Beáta Dobay MOTOR DEVELOPMENT OF SLOVAK AND HUNGARIAN 6 – 7-YEAR-OLD PUPILS IN THE ASPECT OF DEGREE OF OBESITY (FIRST Péter Szabó, Beáta Dobay CYCLING HABITS IN THE BORDER REGION, THE COMPARISON OF CSALLÓKÖZ AND NORTHERN TRANSDANUBIA......77 Šárka Tomková, Elena Bendíková AKTIVÁCIA CHODIDLA A JEJ VPLYV NA POSTURÁLNU STABILITU U DETÍ **Roman Markovič** IMITAČNÉ CVIČENIA V TELESNEJ PRÍPRAVE PROFESIONÁLNYCH Nora Halmová, Janka Kanásová, Libuša Korímová POHYBOVÁ AKTIVITA U 11.-15. ROČNÝCH DETÍ V ZÁPADOSLOVENSKOM KRAJI......101 Petra Baranová, Jaromír Šimonek POHYBOVÝ REŽIM ŽIAKOV STREDNÉHO ŠKOLSKÉHO VEKU V REGIÓNE ORAVA...... 111 Jaroslav Broďáni, Natália Kováčová, Dávid Mazúch, Monika Czaková AKO FAKTOR OVPLYVŇUJÚCI POHYBOVÚ AKTIVITU VEK A KVALITU ŽIVOTA ŽIAKOV STREDNÝCH ŠKÔL......130 Nora Halmová, Martina Michelčíková, Alexandra Veis ŽIVOTNÝ ŠTÝL DETÍ VO VEKU 14-18 ROKOV VO VYBRANOM **REGIÓNE.....141** Mária Kalinková, Mária Vailingová APLIKÁCIA PORADOVEJ PRÍPRAVY DO HODÍN TELESNEJ A ŠPORTOVEJ VÝCHOVY V PRIMÁRNOM VZDELÁVANÍ..... 150 Natália Czaková, Ľubomír Paška, Jakub Cifra V ÚROVNI **STREČINGU** ZMENY OHYBNOSTI VPLYVOM **V BOXE**.....160 Natália Czaková, Janka Kanásová, Lenka Divinec, Matúš Bartošek ZMENY V ÚROVNI OHYBNOSTI VPLYVOM STREČINGU VO FUTBALE...... 167

Jitka Vaněčková, Hana Kabešová

| IDENTIFICATION OF THE SWIMMING TALENT FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF<br>PROFESSIONAL COACHES   |
|---|
| Jaroslav Krajčovič, Pavol Horička, Ľubomír Paška, Jakub Mjartan<br>DYNAMIKA ZMIEN KONDIČNÝCH SCHOPNOSTÍ V PRECHODNOM A<br>PRÍPRAVNOM OBDOBÍ VĽADOVOM<br>HOKEJI181 |
| Pavol Horička, Jaroslav Krajčovič, Ľubomír Paška, Ján Piala<br>ANALÝZA DRÁHY CHODIDLA PRI RÔZNYCH RÝCHLOSTIACH BEHU 193   |
| Gustáv Argaj, Jaroslava Argajová, Andrea Izáková<br>APLIKÁCIA NOVÝCH TECHNOLÓGIÍ PRI VÝUČBE BASKETBALU NA<br>ZÁKLDNEJ ŠKOLE<br>202                                |
| Jaromír Šimonek, Radoslav Židek<br>AKO ZEFEKTÍVNIŤ VÝBER TALENTOV V ŠPORTE  |
| Róbert Rozim, Michal Marko<br>TELESNÝ VÝVIN A TELESNÁ ZDATNOSŤ ŽIAKOV MLADŠIEHO ŠKOLSKÉHO<br>VEKU   |
| Lenka Vojtíková<br>PROBLEMATIKA HODNOCENÍ DRŽENÍ TĚLA U MLADŠÍCH ŠKOLNÍCH<br>DĚTÍ 245   |
| Iva Balkó, Štefan Balkó, Martin Nosek<br>GENETICKÉ PREDISPOZICE A JEJICH VLIV NA VÝKONNOST<br>V RYCHLOSTNĚ-SILOVÝCH DISCIPLÍNÁCH249                               |

# MOTOR DEVELOPMENT OF SLOVAK AND HUNGARIAN 6 – 7-YEAR-OLD PUPILS IN THE ASPECT OF DEGREE OF OBESITY (FIRST PHASE OF A LONGITUDINAL STUDY)

Andor H. MOLNÁR<sup>1</sup>, Jaromir ŠIMONEK<sup>2</sup>, Nora HALMOVÁ<sup>2</sup>, Iuliana BOROS-BÁLINT<sup>3</sup>, Grațiela Flavia DEAK<sup>3</sup>, Viorel Petru ARDELEAN<sup>4</sup>, Vasile Liviu ANDREI<sup>4</sup>, Ágnes Virág NAGY<sup>1</sup>, Beáta VÁRI<sup>1</sup>, Kornélia ORBÁN<sup>1</sup>, Csaba FINTOR<sup>1</sup>, Tímea OCSKÓ<sup>1</sup>, Róbert SZÁSZ<sup>1</sup>, Géza OLAJOS<sup>1</sup>, Ferenc GYŐRI<sup>1</sup>, István ALATTYÁNYI<sup>5</sup>, Rita CSETREKI<sup>5</sup>, Beáta DOBAY<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Szeged, Institute of PE and Sports Science, Szeged, Hungary
 <sup>2</sup>Constantine the Philosopher University, Department of PE and Sport, Nitra, Slovakia
 <sup>3</sup> Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of PE and Sport, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 <sup>4</sup>Aurel Vlaicu University, Faculty of PE and Sport, Arad, Romania
 <sup>5</sup>Foundation for the Sustainable Development of our Society, Szeged, Hungary
 <sup>6</sup>J. Selye University, Department of PE and Sports, Komarno, Slovakia

(andor.h.molnar@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction and aim**: In Hungary (HUN) there are everyday PE lessons (5 per week), while there are significantly fewer PE lessons (2 per week) in Slovakia (SVK). We compare SVK and HUN children who started to attend primary school in the autumn of 2018 and our main hypothesis is that more regular PE lessons have more positive effect on motor development due to the improvement in the degree of obesity.

**Methods:** 311 children from both countries and genders (SVK: 94 boys and 104 girls; HUN: 57 boys and 56 girls) participated in the study. Their BMI percentiles were defined according to the BMIs of the measured children from the same country and then they were classified by BMI percentiles to lean (L; percentile was less than 25%), normal (N; percentile was between 25.1 and 74.9%) and overweight/obese (OOB; percentile was more than 75%) categories. To examine their motor development we used the Test of Gross Motor Development-2 (TGMD-2) which does not measure performance, but classifies motor coordination based on given observation criteria. TGMD-2 contains locomotor (run, gallop, hop, leap, horizontal jump, slide) and object control (striking a stationary ball, stationary dribble, catch, kick, overhand throw, underhand roll) tasks.

**Results:** 23.01% was L and 24.78% was OOB among the HUN pupils and 23.23% was L and 24.75% was OOB among the SVK children. There were no significant differences between the BMI categories or the two countries in the results of different locomotor tasks. The L HUN pupils ( $38.5 \pm 1.2$  S.E.M.) were significantly better than the OOB HUN children ( $33.39 \pm 1.49$ ) in the overall results of locomotor tasks. We did not detected similar difference among the SVK pupils. In the object control tasks, there were no significant differences between the BMI categories' or the two countries' results of striking a stationary ball, stationary dribble or

underhand roll. The L SVK children's results of catch was significantly lower  $(3.72 \pm 0.27)$  than the N SVK pupils'  $(4.79 \pm 0.14)$  or the L HUN children's  $(5.08 \pm 0.23)$  results. The L HUN children kicked the ball with higher points  $(6.58 \pm 0.35)$  than the L SVK pupils  $(5.13 \pm 0.33)$ . There were remarkable differences between SVK and HUN pupils in all the three BMI categories' results of overhand throw (L SVK:  $3.24 \pm 0.27$ ; L HUN:  $5.46 \pm 0.31$ ; N SVK:  $3.22 \pm 0.17$ ; N HUN:  $5.76 \pm 0.25$ ; OOB SVK:  $2.98 \pm 0.24$ ; OOB HUN:  $5.54 \pm 0.26$ ). In the overall results of object control tasks, there were no significant differences among the BMI categories, but the points of L  $(33.15 \pm 0.97)$  and N  $(34.29 \pm 0.79)$  HUN children were higher than the SVK pupils' results in the same BMI categories (L SVK:  $25.8 \pm 1.21$ ; N SVK:  $28.23 \pm 0.84$ ). In the cumulate outcome of TGMD-2, the OOB HUN pupils' scores  $(64.29 \pm 2.02)$  were remarkably lower than the L  $(71.65 \pm 1.51)$  or N  $(70.73 \pm 1.23)$  HUN children ( $62.72 \pm 1.48$ ).

**Conclusions and further perspectives**: Our results seem to prove that the OOB children's motor skills are weaker. We detected a few significant differences between SVK and HUN pupils' motor development, but we would like to perform the same examinations once in every semester during the first two school years, and follow up the same indicators among the same children. Now we reported the results of the first measurement period with participants who newly enrolled in 1<sup>st</sup> class of school education after nursery. We suppose that the differences would be more remarkable when the pupils spend more time in the system of everyday PE than in the system of 2 PE lessons per week.

**Keywords**: motor development, degree of obesity, 6 – 7-year-old pupils

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Numerous studies have proven the positive effects of regular physical activity on motor development (Fisher et al., 2005; Graf et al., 2004; Houwen et al., 2008) or physical (Bailey, 2006), cognitive (Sibley and Etnier, 2003; van der Niet et al. 2015) and social (Taras, 2005) abilities. The progress in these areas also contributes to a better quality of life of the children concerned (Bailey, 2006). As a counterpoint, the relevant literature emphasizes that the number of overweight children increases in industrial countries (Allison et al., 1999; Barth et al., 1997; Bundred et al., 2001; Kromeyer-Hauschild et al., 1999) due to lack of physical activity (Dietz and Gortmaker, 1985; Gortmaker et al., 1990). As a result, the number of children with mobility problems increases as well (Cairney, 2005).

It is well-known that there is a strong correlation between the body fat content and the motor development. Already in infancy it was noticeable that overweight children's motor development was delayed compared to non - obese children (Slining et al., 2010). It is shown among older children (5 to 10 years old) as well, that motor development of obese children is fallen behind than normal weight children (D'Hondt et al., 2009). Limaa et al. (2018) followed up children from 6 to 13 years of age, and similarly to the previous studies, they found that overweight children perform worse in movement coordination.

Moreover, childhood overweight can be the starting point for a number of additional adult complications (abnormal obesity, motor problems, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, metabolic syndrome, etc.) that greatly contribute to the deterioration of the quality of life (Parsons et al, 1999; Wabitsch, 2000). One of the options to prevent these problems is the regular physical activity in school education (Bailey, 2006), the increase in the number of PE lessons, and the regular physical activity as a daily routine (Holm et al, 2001). Since the autumn of 2012, everyday physical education (PE) lessons have been introduced in Hungary (HUN) within the framework of statutory regulations (Magyar

Közlöny, 2012). This means 5 PE lessons per week for every student in primary and secondary schools. The purpose of this provision is to educate a healthier lifestyle, prevent various diseases and thus ensure a better quality of life (Meszlényi et al. 2017; Rétsági and Csányi, 2014). In Slovakia (SVK), the subject of PE is precisely called 'PE and Sport'. It is required to teach the subject at school twice a week. All schools in Slovakia can choose two preferred items, in which case they would have an extra 1-1 lesson per week. In this case, the PE and sports are taught in 3 lessons per week (Telesná a športová výchova, 2014).

Our main hypothesis is that more regular PE lessons have more positive effect on motor development due to the improvement in the degree of obesity. Our aim was to compare the motor development of different BMI groups from SVK and HUN.

Now we report the results of the first measurement period with participants who newly enrolled in 1<sup>st</sup> class of school education after nursery, but we would like to perform the same examinations once in every semester during the first two school years, and follow up the same indicators among the same children.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Participants:

311 children from both countries and genders (SVK: 94 boys and 104 girls; HUN: 57 boys and 56 girls) participated in the study. They were newly joined in the system of everyday PE in HUN and the system of 2 PE lessons per week in SVK and we performed the measurements in the beginning of their first semester. The children attend to primary schools in the downtown area of Nitra and Komarno (SVK) and Szeged (HUN). For each participant, their parents gave written consent and ethics approval was gained from the relevant school institutional body.

Procedures:

Body mass index (BMI) was measured by Omron BF 511 body composition monitor (Healthcare Co., Kyoto, Japan). The participant's degree of obesity was specified based on their BMI percentiles that were defined according to the BMIs of the measured children from the same country. Then they were classified by BMI percentiles to the following three groups: lean (L; percentile was less than 25%), normal (N; percentile was between 25.1 and 74.9%) and overweight/obese (OOB; percentile was more than 75%) categories. The 25% and 75% BMI percentile values of the pupils from both countries are presented in *Table 1a*. The rate of the participants in the three BMI groups is demonstrated in *Table 1b*.

| <b>Tuble 10</b> The 2570 and 7570 bin percentile values of the participants |       |       |  |  |  |
|---|-------|-------|--|--|--|
|   | SVK   | HUN   |  |  |  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) percentile=25%                                     | 15.30 | 15.20 |  |  |  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) percentile=75%                                     | 18.25 | 17.55 |  |  |  |

 Table 1a The 25% and 75% BMI percentile values of the participants

| Table 1b The rate o | f the partici | pants in the thr | ee BMI groups |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| •                   |               | 4                | 0 1           |

|  | 0 1    |        |
|--|--------|--------|
|  | SVK    | HUN    |
| lean<br>(BMI percentile less than 25%)             | 23.23% | 23.01% |
| normal<br>(BMI percentile between 25.1 and 74.9%)  | 52.02% | 52.21% |
| overweight/obese<br>(BMI percentile more than 75%) | 24.75% | 24.78% |

To examine the children's motor development we used the Test of Gross Motor Development-2 (TGMD-2) (Ulrich, 2000) which does not measure performance, but classifies motor coordination based on given observation criteria. The TGMD- 2 contains 12 motor skills divided into two subtests: locomotor (run, gallop, hop, leap, horizontal jump, slide) and object control (striking a stationary ball, stationary dribble, catch, kick, overhand throw, underhand roll) tasks. The test administration took approximately 20 min for each child. The assessment protocol involved providing children with a demonstration of the correct technique before assessment. Children were then asked to perform the skill twice. Each attempt was scored with each component receiving a '1'if correctly executed or a '0' if not. The sum of the observed criteria for each subscale comprises the overall score (0–48 points). The sum of the overall scores from locomotor and object control subtest was the cumulate outcome (up to 96 points). During the performances general encouragement was given but no specific verbal feedback about skill performance.

The TGMD is already widespread in the US and in many countries of the world (Bakhtiar, 2014; Kit et al., 2017; Pang and Fong, 2009; Valentini, 2012), but it is not well-known yet in Central and Eastern Europe, although there was a study in the Czech Republic that used this test system (Cepicka, 2010).

#### Statistical analysis:

Data were expressed as means  $\pm$  S.E.M. The data were tested for signicance via Bonferroni-test, and differences were regarded as significant at \*p<0.05 between the BMI groups in the same country and <sup>#</sup>p<0.05 between SVK and HUN in the same BMI groups.

#### RESULTS

There were no significant differences between the BMI categories or the two countries in the results of different locomotor tasks (*Table 2*). The L HUN pupils ( $38.5 \pm 1.2$  S.E.M. \*) were significantly better than the OOB HUN children ( $33.39 \pm 1.49$ ) in the overall results of locomotor tasks. We did not detected similar difference among the SVK pupils.

|                      | SVK         |             |             | HUN         |             |            |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
|                      | L           | Ν           | OOB         | L           | Ν           | OOB        |
| Run                  | $6.04 \pm$  | $6.50 \pm$  | 6.22 ±      | 6.31 ±      | $6.08 \pm$  | $5.68 \pm$ |
| (mpa: 8)             | 0.32        | 0.17        | 0.24        | 0.32        | 0.20        | 0.33       |
| Gallop               | $5.75 \pm$  | 5.77±       | $5.43 \pm$  | $6.35 \pm$  | $5.95 \pm$  | $5.64 \pm$ |
| (mpa: 8)             | 0.29        | 0.23        | 0.36        | 0.42        | 0.26        | 0.32       |
| Нор                  | 8.15 ±      | 7.91 ±      | 7.55 ±      | 7.73 ±      | 7.54 ±      | 6.54 ±     |
| (mpa: 10)            | 0.32        | 0.32        | 0.29        | 0.40        | 0.28        | 0.48       |
| Leap                 | $4.78 \pm$  | $4.67 \pm$  | $4.29 \pm$  | 4.73 ±      | $3.88 \pm$  | 3.61 ±     |
| (mpa: 6)             | 0.17        | 0.15        | 0.24        | 0.33        | 0.22        | 0.32       |
| Horizontal jump      | $5.93 \pm$  | $6.57 \pm$  | $5.88 \pm$  | 6.12 ±      | $5.81 \pm$  | $5.29 \pm$ |
| (mpa: 8)             | 0.27        | 0.15        | 0.26        | 0.47        | 0.29        | 0.41       |
| Slide                | $6.26 \pm$  | $6.83 \pm$  | $6.45 \pm$  | $7.27 \pm$  | $7.17 \pm$  | $6.64 \pm$ |
| (mpa: 8)             | 0.27        | 0.70        | 0.28        | 0.35        | 0.21        | 0.35       |
|                      |             |             |             |             |             |            |
| <b>Overall score</b> | $36.91 \pm$ | $38.25 \pm$ | $35.82 \pm$ | $38.50 \pm$ | $36.44 \pm$ | 33.39 ±    |
| (mpa: 48)            | 0.96        | 0.62        | 1.03        | 1.20 *      | 0.75        | 1.49       |

 Table 2 Results of locomotor tasks

#### Abbrevations: SVK - Slovakia, HUN - Hungary, L - lean, N - normal, OBB - overweight/obese, mpa - maximum point available;\*p<0.05 significant difference between the BMI groups in the same country.

In the object control tasks, there were no significant differences between the BMI categories' or the two countries' results of striking a stationary ball, stationary dribble or underhand roll (*Table 3*). The L SVK children's results of catch was significantly lower (3.72  $\pm$  0.27) than the N SVK pupils' (4.79  $\pm$  0.14 \*) or the L HUN children's (5.08  $\pm$  0.23 <sup>#</sup>) results. The L HUN children kicked the ball with higher points (6.58  $\pm$  0.35 <sup>#</sup>) than the L SVK pupils (5.13  $\pm$  0.33). There were remarkable differences between SVK and HUN pupils in all the three BMI categories' results of overhand throw (L SVK: 3.24  $\pm$  0.27; L HUN: 5.46  $\pm$  0.31 <sup>#</sup>; N SVK: 3.22  $\pm$  0.17; N HUN: 5.76  $\pm$  0.25 <sup>#</sup>; OOB SVK: 2.98  $\pm$  0.24; OOB HUN: 5.54  $\pm$  0.26 <sup>#</sup>). In the overall results of object control tasks, there were no significant differences among the BMI categories, but the points of L (33.15  $\pm$  0.97 <sup>#</sup>) and N (34.29  $\pm$  0.79 <sup>#</sup>) HUN children were higher than the SVK pupils' results in the same BMI categories (L SVK: 25.8  $\pm$  1.21; N SVK: 28.23  $\pm$  0.84).

|  | SVK   |  |   | HUN   |   |   |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
|  | L   | Ν  | OOB   | L   | Ν   | OOB   |
| Striking a<br>stationary ball<br>(mpa: 10) | 5.02 ±<br>0.37                                  | 5.57 ± 0.26                                      | $\begin{array}{c} 6.33 \pm \\ 0.36 \end{array}$ | 5.46 ± 0.45                                     | $5.93 \pm \\ 0.26$                              | 4.86 ± 0.44                                     |
| Stationary<br>dribble<br>(mpa: 8)          | 3.89 ± 0.33                                     | 3.96±<br>0.24                                    | $\begin{array}{c} 3.55 \pm \\ 0.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.88 \pm \\ 0.46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.53 \pm \\ 0.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3.79 \pm \\ 0.42 \end{array}$ |
| Catch<br>(mpa: 6)                          | 3.72 ±<br>0.27                                  | 4.79 ±<br>0.14 *                                 | 4.49 ± 0.20                                     | 5.08 ±<br>0.29 <sup>#</sup>                     | 5.27 ±<br>0.13                                  | $5.00 \pm 0.20$                                 |
| Kick<br>(mpa: 8)                           | 5.13 ±<br>0.33                                  | 5.93 ± 0.20                                      | 5.51 ± 0.27                                     | 6.58 ±<br>0.35 <sup>#</sup>                     | 6.64 ± 0.20                                     | 5.86 ± 0.30                                     |
| Overhand throw<br>(mpa: 8)                 | 3.24 ± 0.27                                     | 3.22 ± 0.17                                      | 2.98 ±<br>0.24                                  | 5.46 ±<br>0.31 <sup>#</sup>                     | 5.76 ±<br>0.25 <sup>#</sup>                     | 5.54 ±<br>0.26 <sup>#</sup>                     |
| Underhand roll<br>(mpa: 8)                 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.80 \pm \\ 0.30 \end{array}$ | 4.76±<br>0.21                                    | $\begin{array}{r} 5.02 \pm \\ 0.30 \end{array}$ | 5.69 ± 0.41                                     | 6.15 ± 0.22                                     | 5.86 ± 0.30                                     |
| 0  | 25.90   | 20.22  | 27.99   | 22.15   | 24.20   | 20.90   |
| (mpa: 48)                                  | 25.80 ±<br>1.21                                 | $\begin{array}{r} 28.23 \pm \\ 0.84 \end{array}$ | 27.88 ±<br>1.03                                 | 33.15 ±<br>0.97 <sup>#</sup>                    | 34.29 ±<br>0.79 <sup>#</sup>                    | $50.89 \pm 0.79$                                |

| Table 3 | Results | of object | control | tasks |
|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|
|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|

Abbrevations:

SVK – Slovakia, HUN – Hungary, L – lean, N – normal, OBB - overweight/obese, mpa – maximum point available;

\*p<0.05 significant difference between the BMI groups in the same country;

 $^{\#}p<0.05$  significant difference between SVK and HUN in the same BMI groups.

The obesity-degree dependent cumulate outcomes of TGMD-2 are demonstrated in *Table 4*. In the cumulate outcomes, the OOB HUN pupils' scores ( $64.29 \pm 2.02 *$ ) were remarkably lower than the L ( $71.65 \pm 1.51$ ) or N ( $70.73 \pm 1.23$ ) HUN children's scores. The L

HUN pupils achieved higher cumulate TGMD-2 outcomes than the L SVK children (62.72  $\pm$  1.48  $^{\#}$ ).

|  |                              | SVK  |              | HUN             |              |                |
|--|------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
|  | L                            | Ν  | OOB          | L               | Ν            | OOB            |
| Cumulate<br>outcomes of<br>TGMD-2<br>(mpa: 96) | 62.72 ±<br>1.48 <sup>#</sup> | $\begin{array}{c} 66.49 \pm \\ 0.86 \end{array}$ | 63.69 ± 1.44 | 71.65 ±<br>1.51 | 70.73 ± 1.23 | 64.29 ± 2.02 * |

| Table 4 Result. | s of object | control tasks |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
|-----------------|-------------|---------------|

Abbrevations:

SVK – Slovakia, HUN – Hungary, L – lean, N – normal, OBB - overweight/obese, mpa – maximum point available;

\*p<0.05 significant difference between the BMI groups in the same country; \*p<0.05 significant difference between SVK and HUN in the same BMI groups.

#### DISCUSSION

Similarly to previous findings (D'Hondt et al., 2009; Limaa et al., 2018; Slining et al., 2010), our results seem to prove that the OOB children's motor skills are weaker. In our research, the OOB HUN pupils performed worse in overall scores of locomotor tasks and cumulate outcomes of TGMD-2 than non - obese HUN children. We did not detect similar differences among the SVK pupils.

Okely at al. (2004) demonstrated in their publication that students in grades 4, 6, 8 and 10 performed better on locomotor tests than those who were overweight. However, in object control tasks, only Grade 6 and Grade 10 not overweight boys performed better than their overweight schoolmates. In our research, among younger participants we did not detect similar differences between the results of locomotor and object control tasks. It is worth mentioning that in our study, the participants seem to achieved higher overall scores in the locomotor subscale than in the onject control tasks.

Surprisingly we observed several differences between the results of SVK and HUN children. The HUN children kicked, caught and threw the ball with higher scores than the SVK pupils and there were significant differences between SVK and HUN pupils in the overall results of object control tasks and the cumulate outcomes of TGMD-2 as well. We did not expect these differences, because the participants of our study newly joined in the system of everyday PE in HUN and the system of 2 PE lessons per week in SVK. We expected these differences between the two countries when the pupils spend more time in their own national system of PE, not when they newly enrolled in 1<sup>st</sup> class of school education after nursery. It needs further investigations to explain these non-expected differences, because we suppose that it can not be the effect of the everyday PE.

Now we reported the results of the first measurement period of a longitudinal study. We would like to perform the same examinations once in every semester during the first two school years, and follow up the same indicators among the same children. We suppose that the differences would be much more remarkable.

#### **FURTHER PERSPECTIVES**

Our research is a part of a longitudinal international (SVK-Romania-HUN) study. Its purpose is to prove the beneficial effects of regular health promotion physical activity including the school PE lessons on body composition, motor skills and quality of life as well among 6-7-year-old pupils. We would like to study the correlations between these indicators. In addition to the data presented here, we measure the height, weight, relative body fat and muscle content, basal metabolic rate as well. To examine the quality of life we use the child and parental version of KidScreen-27 questionnaire (Ravens-Sieberer U, 2007; Robitail S, 2007; The KIDSCREEN Group Europe, 2006). We perform the same measurements in Romania (Cluj-Napoca and Arad) as well.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research and publication was supported by EFOP-5.2.2-17-2017-00035 project entitled 'Complex, comparative analysis of the interactions between regular health promotion physical activity, quality of life, motor abilities and body composition among students living in the Carpathian Basin within the framework of a Hungarian-Romanian-Slovak cooperation project'.

#### REFERENCES

- Allison, D. B., Fontaine, K. R., Manson, J. E., Stevens, J.& Vanltallie, T. B. 1999. Annual deaths attributable to obesity in the United States. *JAMA*, 282, 1530-1538.
- Bailey, R. 2006. Physical education and sport in schools: a review of benefits and outcomes. *Journal of School Health*, 76(8), 397-401.
- Bakhtiar, S. 2014. Fundamental motor skill among 6-year-old children in Padang, West Sumatera, Indonesia. *Asian Social Science*, 10, 155-158.
- Barth, N., Ziegler, A., Himmelmann, G. W., Coners, H., Wabitsch, M., Hennighausen, K., Mayer, H., Remschmidt, H., Schafer, H. & Hebebrand, J. 1997. Significant weight gains in a clinical sample of obese children and adolescents between 1985 and 1995. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 21, 122-126.
- Bundred, P., Kitchiner, D. & Buchan, I. 2001. Prevalence of overweight and obese children between 1989 and 1998: population based series of cross sectional studies. *British Medical Journal*, 322, 1-4.
- Cairney, J., Hay, J. A., Faught, B. E. & Hawes, R. 2005. Developmental coordination disorder and overweight and obesity in children aged 9-14 y. *International Journal of Obesity*, 29(4), 369-372.
- Cepicka, L. 2010. Normative data for the Test of Gross Motor Development-2 in 7-yr.-old children in the Czech Republic. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 110(3), 1048-1052.
- D'Hondt, E., Deforche, B., De Bourdeaudhuij, I. & Lenoir, M. 2009. Relationship between motor skill and body mass index in 5- to 10-year-old children. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 26, 21-37.
- Dietz Jr, W. H. & Gortmaker, S. L. 1985. Do we fatten our children at the TV set? Obesity and television viewing in children and adolescent. *Pediatrics*, 75, 807-812.
- Fisher, A., Reilly, J. J., Kelly, L. A., Montgomery, C., Williamson, A., Paton, J. Y. & Grant, S. 2005. Fundamental movement skills and habitual physical activity in young children. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 37, 684-688.

- Gortmaker, S. L., Dietz, W. H. & Cheung, L. 1990. Inactivity, diet and the fattening of America. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 90, 1247-1255.
- Holm, K., Li, S., Spector, N., Hicks, F., Carlson, E. & Lanuza, D. 2001. Obesity in adults and children: a call for action. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *36*(2), 266-269.
- Houwen, S., Hartman, E. & Visscher, C. 2009. Physical activity and motor skills in children with and without visual impairments. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 41, 103-109.
- Kit, B. K., Akinbami, L. J., Isfahani, N. S. & Ulrich, D. A. 2017. Gross motor development in children aged 3–5 years, United States 2012. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 21, 1573–1580.
- Kromeyer-Hauschild, K., Zellner, K., Jaeger, U. & Hoyer, H. 1999. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among school children in Jena (Germany). *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 23, 1143-1150.
- Limaa, R. A., Buggeb, A., Ersbøllc, A. K., Stoddend, D. F. & Andersene, L. B. 2018. The longitudinal relationship between motor competence and measures of fatness and fitness fromchildhood into adolescence. *Journal de Pediatria*, 95(4), 482-488.
- Magyar Közlöny. 2012. A kormány 110/2012 (VI. 4.) rendelete a Nemzeti alaptanterv kiadásáról, bevezetéséről és alkalmazásáról (Regulation by the Hungarian Government). <u>http://www.kozlonyok.hu/nkonline/MKPDF/hiteles/mk12066.pdf</u> (Hungarian)
- Meszlényi, E., Urbinné Borbély, Sz., Seregi, E., Morvay-Sey, K. & Rétsági, E. 2017. A mindennapos testnevelés néhány kérdésének vizsgálata. *Sport- és Egészségtudományi Füzetek, 1,* 8-18. (Hungarian)
- Okely, A. D., Booth M. L. & Chey T. 2004. Relationships between body composition and fundamental movement skills among children and adolescents. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 75(3), 238-247.
- Pang, A. W. & Fong, D. T. 2009. Fundamental motor skill proficiency of Hong Kong children aged 6–9 years. *Research in Sports Medicine*, 17(3), 125-144.
- Parsons, T. J., Power, C., Logan, S. & Summerbell, C.D. 1999. Childhood predictors of adult obesity: a systematic review. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 23 (Suppl 8), S1-107.
- Ravens-Sieberer, U., Auquier, P., Erhart, M., Gosch, A., Rajmil, L., Bruil, J., Power, M., Duer, W., Cloetta, B., Czemy, L., Mazur, J., Czimbalmos, A., Tountas, Y., Hagquist, C., Kilroe, J., European KIDSCREEN Group. 2007. The KIDSCREEN-27 quality of life measure for children and adolescents: psychometric results from a cross-cultural survey in 13 European countries. *Quality of Life Research*, 16(8), 1347-1356.
- Rétsági, E. & Csányi, T. 2014. Nemzeti Alaptanterv 2012: Testnevelés és sport műveltségi terület az iskolai testnevelés új kihívásai I. *Magyar Sporttudományi Szemle, 59,* 32-36. (Hungarian)
- Robitail, S., Ravens-Sieberer, U., Simeoni, M. C., Rajmil, L., Bruil, J., Power, M., Duer, W., Cloetta, B., Czemy, L., Mazur, J., Czimbalmos, A., Tountas, Y., Hagquist, C., Kilroe, J., Auquier, P; KIDSCREEN Group. 2007. Testing the structural and cross-cultural validity of the KIDSCREEN-27 quality of life questionnaire. *Quality of Life Research*, *16(8)*, 1335-1345.
- Sibley, B. A. & Etnier, J. L. 2003. The relationship between physical activity and cognition in children: A meta-analysis. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 15, 243-256.
- Slining, M., Adair, L. S., Goldman, B. D., Borja, J. B. & Bentley, M. 2010. Infant overweight is associated with delayed motor development. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 157, 20-25.
- Taras, H. 2005. Physical activity and student performance at school. *Journal of School Health*, 75(6), 214-218.

- Telesná a športová výchova (2014) (Regulation by the Slovak Government) <u>http://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/svp/inovovany-statny-vzdelavaci-program/inovovany-</u> <u>svp-1.stupen-zs/zdravie-pohyb/telesna-sportova-vychova\_pv\_2014.pdf</u> (Slovak)
- The KIDSCREEN Group Europe. 2006. The KIDSCREEN Questionnaires Quality of life questionnaires for children and adolescents. Handbook. Pabst Science Publishers, Lengerich, Germany.
- Ulrich, D. A. 2000. *The Test of Gross Motor Development*, 2nd edition. PRO-ED, Austin, TX, USA.
- Valentini, N. C. 2012. Validity and reliability of the TGMD-2 for Brazilian children. *Journal* of Motor Behavior, 44(4), 275-280.
- van der Niet, A. G., Smith, J., Scherder, E.J.A., Oosterlaan, J., Hartman E. & Visscher, C. 2015. Associations between daily physical activity and executive functioning in primary school-aged children. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 18(6), 673-677.
- Wabitsch, M. 2000. Overweight and obesity in European children: definition and diagnostic procedures, risk factors and consequences for later health outcome. *European Journal* of Pediatrics, 159 (Suppl 1), S8-13.